

# HOMOGENEOUS STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX HYPERSURFACES

by *Hugo Rossi\**

## §1. Introduction

Let  $L \xrightarrow{\tau} E$  be a negative complex line bundle over the algebraic variety  $E$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a compact group of holomorphic bundle transformations of  $L$ . If the induced action of  $G$  on  $E$  is transitive, then the typical orbit of  $G$  on  $L$  is a hypersurface (since  $L$  is negative, it is not topologically trivial). These orbits are examples of homogeneous strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces—a notion to be defined below. In this paper we shall discuss the extent to which these are the only examples. The following collection of definitions of CR-structure was first made by Greenfield [5].

**1.1 Definition.** Let  $M$  be a  $C^\infty$  manifold, and  $B$  a subbundle of the tangent bundle  $T(M)$ . Let  $J: B \rightarrow B$  be a bundle automorphism such that  $J^2 = -I$ . The triple  $(M, B, J)$  is a *CR-manifold* if the sheaf of complex vector fields

$$A(M) = \{X - iJX; X \in B\}$$

is closed under Lie brackets.

**Remark.** We may complexify  $J$  to  $J_C: B_C \rightarrow B_C$ . Here  $B_C$  splits into the sum of two bundles,  $B_C = A + \bar{A}$ , where  $J_C|_A =$  multiplication by  $i$ .  $A$  is just the sheaf of sections of  $A$ .

**1.2 Definition.** If  $B$  is of codimension one in  $T(M)$ , we say that  $(M, B, J)$  is a *CR-hypersurface*.

In this case we can find, locally, a form  $\eta \in T^*(M)$  such that  $B = \eta^\perp$ . It is easily verified (cf. [5]) that the hermitian form on  $A$ ,

$$L(X, Y) = \text{id } \eta(X, \bar{Y})$$

\* The author was partially supported by the Guggenheim Foundation and an NSF Grant during the preparation of this manuscript.

is well-defined up to a multiplicative constant. (Notice, if  $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$  are vector fields in  $A$  through  $X, Y$  respectively,

$$d\eta(X, \hat{Y}) = -\eta([X, \hat{Y}]).$$

**1.3 Definition.** Let  $(\Sigma, B, J)$  be a  $CR$ -hypersurface. We say that  $\Sigma$  is *strongly pseudoconvex* if the *Levi form*  $L$  is definite (all eigenvalues of the same sign).

**1.4 Definition.** Let  $(M, B, J), (M', B', J')$  be  $CR$ -manifolds, and  $\phi: M \rightarrow M'$  a  $C^\infty$  mapping.  $\phi$  is a  $CR$ -map if  $d\phi: B_p \rightarrow B'_{\phi(p)}$  for all  $p \in M$ , and  $d\phi \circ J = J' \circ d\phi$ . The *sheaf of  $CR$ -functions*  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  is the sheaf of  $CR$ -maps  $\phi: (M, B, J) \rightarrow (C, T(C), i)$ .

A real hypersurface in a complex manifold inherits a  $CR$ -structure (see [5] again) called the induced  $CR$ -structure. Only in the real analytic category is it known that a  $CR$ -structure is locally induced;<sup>1</sup> for this reason we shall, in this paper, restrict attention to the category of real-analytic  $CR$ -manifolds and maps. In this case, the  $CR$ -functions are (locally) the restrictions of holomorphic functions in the ambient complex manifold (and for this reason shall sometimes be called holomorphic).

**1.5 Definition.** Let  $(M, B, J)$  be a  $CR$ -manifold.  $G(M)$  is the group of  $CR$ -bimorphisms of  $M$ . If  $G$  is a Lie group and  $G \rightarrow G(M)$  is a representation of  $G$  in  $G(M)$  so that the action of  $G$  is transitive, we shall say that  $M$  is a  $G$ - $CR$ -manifold.

We shall need the following fact (for a proof for hypersurfaces, see [11]).

**1.6 Proposition.** Let  $(M, B, J)$  be a  $CR$ -manifold, and  $\xi$  a real vector field on  $M$ .  $\xi$  generates locally a one-parameter group of  $CR$ -bimorphisms if and only if for every  $v \in A$ ,  $[\xi, v] \in A$  also.

**1.7 Theorem.** [3, 11, 12] If  $(M, B, J)$  is a  $CR$ -hypersurface with non-degenerate Levi form, then  $G(M)$  is a Lie group.

The case  $\dim M = 3$  is due to E. Cartan; higher dimensions to N. Tanaka. The following, finally, is a basic concept:

**1.8 Definition.** An  $H\Sigma$ -manifold is a compact,  $G$ - $CR$ -strongly pseudoconvex manifold for some group  $G$ .

Because of Theorem 1.7, we may assume  $G$  is a Lie group. We now set out to identify all  $H\Sigma$ -manifolds. First, we have the theorem of Morimoto and Nagano:

**1.9 Theorem [8].** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a simply connected  $H\Sigma$ -manifold contained in a Stein manifold. Then  $\Sigma$  is either the sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or the unit tangent sphere bundle to a compact simply connected symmetric space  $B$  of rank 1.*

The situation we consider is that in which  $\Sigma$  is *not* contained in any Stein manifold, simply connected or not. We stress that we impose this condition so that the case of an  $H\Sigma$ -submanifold of a Stein manifold, with a non-compact universal cover, remains open (outside of some further exceptions in dimension 3). These are the results:

**Theorem (3.3).** *An  $H\Sigma$ -space of dimension  $\geq 5$  is the boundary of a normal Stein analytic space with at most one singular point.*

**Theorem (4.1).** *Let  $D$  be a strongly pseudoconvex neighborhood of an isolated singular point  $\{p_0\}$ . Then  $G(D)$  is compact. Suppose  $G(D)$  has a hypersurface orbit  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is an  $H\Sigma$ -space. Let  $\hat{D} \xrightarrow{\pi} D$  be the monoidal transformation of  $\{p_0\}$ ,  $E = \pi^{-1}(p_0)$ . Then  $\hat{D}, E$  are non-singular,  $G(D)$  lifts to  $\hat{D}$ , is transitive on  $E$ , and the other orbits of  $G(D)$  are hypersurfaces.*

**Theorem (6.1).** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold bounding a Stein space with nonempty singular set. Then  $\Sigma$  is a circle bundle in a homogeneous line bundle over a homogeneous algebraic variety  $E$ .*

Now, to what extent do these theorems describe all  $H\Sigma$ -manifolds?

A. dimension  $\Sigma \geq 5$ . Then  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein space  $X$ .

(1) if  $X$  has singularities, Theorem (6.2) is the description.

I further suspect that  $E$  is a  $C$ -space, and  $G(X)$  is a compact semi-simple Lie group.<sup>2</sup>

(2) if  $X$  is a manifold, and  $\pi_1(\Sigma) < \infty$ , we can lift the  $CR$ -structure of  $\Sigma$  to its universal cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , which will again be an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold. By Theorem (2.3),  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  bounds some  $\tilde{X}$ , and the projection map  $\pi: \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . The branching locus of  $\pi$  is a compact analytic subvariety of  $X$ , so is a discrete set. Since  $X$  has no singularities, and  $\dim X \geq 3$ ,  $\pi$  can have no branch points, so  $\tilde{X}$  is also a Stein manifold. By the theorem of Morimoto and Nagano,  $\tilde{X}$  is known, and  $X$  is just the quotient of  $\tilde{X}$  by a finite group.

(3) the remaining case is that of  $\Sigma$  in a Stein manifold with  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  infinite. I know no examples.

B. dimension  $\Sigma = 3$ .

(1) if  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein space  $X$  so that  $G(\Sigma)$  has a fixed point on  $X$ , then we can conclude that  $\Sigma$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  modulo a finite subgroup of  $SU(2)$  (see Theorem 5.1).

(2) if  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein space *with singularities*, we are in the first case again.

(3) if  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein manifold, and is simply connected, the theorem of Morimoto and Nagano applies.

(4)  $\Sigma$  need not bound any analytic space.

(5) if  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein manifold, and  $\pi_1(\Sigma) < \infty$ , the conclusion of Theorem 6.2 may still fail.

The examples for (4) and (5) are given by the images of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in the spaces  $M, \tilde{Q}$  of Theorem 6.1 [10].  $SU(2)$  acts holomorphically on these spaces since it leaves the form  $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \varepsilon \partial \bar{\partial} \log(z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2)$  (which defines the complex structure) invariant.

## §2. Some preliminary results

In this section we collect some necessary facts which are easily obtainable from the definitions, or the literature.

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $(M, B, J)$  be a compact real analytic CR-manifold. There is a complex manifold  $X$  and a CR-injection  $j: M \rightarrow X$  such that  $M$  carries the induced structure.*

**Proof.** Let  $d = \dim M$ ,  $2n = \dim B$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a complexification of  $M$ . For  $p \in M$ , we can find real analytic vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  spanning  $A$  in a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$ . We may consider these as the restrictions to  $U_p$  of holomorphic vector fields  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$  defined in a neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_p$  of  $p$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Since the linear span of the  $\tilde{X}_j$  is closed under brackets, by the Frobenius theorem, we can find holomorphic functions  $z_1, \dots, z_{d-n}$  defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  (which we take to be  $\tilde{U}_p$ ) which determine the vector fields  $\tilde{X}_j$  in this sense: if  $f$  is holomorphic in  $W \subset \tilde{U}_p$ ,  $\tilde{X}_j f = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  if and only if  $f$  is a holomorphic function of  $z_1, \dots, z_{d-n}$ . In particular, if  $f$  is real-analytic on  $M$  and  $X_i f = 0$ ,  $f$  is the trace of a holomorphic function of  $z_1, \dots, z_{d-n}$ .

We can cover  $M$  by finitely many such neighborhoods  $\tilde{U}_\alpha$ , with corresponding functions  $z_1^\alpha, \dots, z_{d-n}^\alpha$ . In  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \cap \tilde{U}_\beta$ ,  $z^\alpha$  is a holomorphic function of  $z^\beta$ :  $z^\alpha = F_\beta^\alpha(z^\beta)$ . We now take  $M \subset \cup \tilde{U}_\alpha$  small enough so that each point  $p \in \tilde{M}$  lies on a sheet of the fibration defined by the  $\tilde{X}_j$ . These sheets intersect  $M$  in a manifold whose tangent space is the set of real vectors in the span of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ; but this is zero-dimensional. Thus, we can take  $\tilde{M}$  so small that each sheet intersects  $M$  in a single point. Let  $X = \tilde{M}/E$ , where  $E$  is the equivalence relation:  $pEq$  if and only if  $p, q$  lie on the same sheet. Clearly  $X$  is a complex manifold with coordinate neighborhoods

$(\tilde{U}_\alpha/E, z_1^\alpha, \dots, z_{d-n}^\alpha)$  and transition functions  $F_\beta^\alpha$ . If  $j: \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$  is the quotient map,  $j: M \rightarrow X$  is the required injection.

In the case we are considering,  $M$  is a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface. Coupling the above with the results of [10], we obtain

**2.2 Theorem.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface,  $\dim \Sigma \geq 5$ . Then there is a unique strongly pseudoconvex normal Stein analytic space  $X \subset \hat{X}$  with only isolated singular points, and a CR-injection  $j: \Sigma \rightarrow \hat{X}$  of  $\Sigma$  onto  $\partial X$ .*

**Proof.** Take  $\hat{X}$  the  $X$  of Theorem 2.1 together with its hole filled in.

In [10], an example was given of a  $\Sigma$  of dimension 3 which did not bound any analytic space. As pointed out in the introduction, that example is  $H\Sigma$ ; here we give another class of examples. Suppose that  $(SU(2), B, J)$  is an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold under left translation by the group  $SU(2)$ . If  $SU(2)$  bounds a Stein manifold, since it is simply connected, by the theorem of Morimoto and Nagano,  $(SU(2), B, J)$  can be realized (as a CR-manifold) as the sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , under the usual action of the unitary group. If  $SU(2)$  bounds a Stein space  $X$  with singularities, we shall see that the action of  $SU(2)$  extends to  $X$  with a unique fixed point  $\{p_0\}$  (Proposition 3.3). By Theorem 5.1 we will conclude that  $p_0$  is in fact a regular point, and  $SU(2)$  is once again the unit sphere. Thus this is the only possibility for  $SU(2)$  to be an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold bounding an analytic space (which will be necessarily Stein by pseudo-convexity).

Now let  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  be a cyclic basis for  $SU(2)$ :  $[V_i, V_j] = V_k$  cyclically. Letting  $B$  be the distribution spanned by  $V_1, V_2$  (considered as left-invariant vector fields on  $SU(2)$ ) so that the "holomorphic tangent space" is spanned by  $A = V_1 + iV_2$ , the resulting  $H\Sigma$ -space is that of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . For, since  $[V_3, A] = 2iA$ , and  $\Gamma$  is the group generated by  $V_3$ ,  $SU(2)/\Gamma$  inherits a complex structure, and is obviously  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The projection  $\pi: SU(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a CR-map.

However, if we choose  $A = V_1 + i(V_2 + V_3)$ , there is no nonzero vector  $Z \in SU(2)$  such that  $[Z, A] \in \mathbb{C}A$ , so the resulting  $H\Sigma$ -manifold could not be the sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and thus cannot be a boundary. Suppose for  $Z = \sum a_i V_i$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $[Z, A] = cA$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . This gives

$$i(a_2 - a_3)V_1 + (a_3 - ia_1)V_2 + (ia_1 - a_2)V_3 = cV_1 + ic(V_2 + V_3),$$

so  $c = i(a_2 - a_3)$  is pure imaginary, and thus  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_3 = -a_2 = ic$ . Thus  $a_2 = a_3 = c = 0$  also.

Finally, we will need the following criterion for a CR-torus bundle  $\tau: F \rightarrow M$  to be included in a holomorphic vector bundle.

**2.3. Proposition.** Let  $\tau: F \rightarrow M$  be a torus bundle, where  $M$  is a complex manifold and  $(F, B, J)$  is a CR-manifold such that

- (a) the torus action is CR,
- (b)  $\tau$  is CR,
- (c)  $d\tau: B_p \cong B_{\tau(p)} = T_C(M)_{\tau(p)}$ .

Then there exist a principal  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ , and a CR-map  $j: F \subset P$  such that  $\tau = \pi \circ j$ . If  $F, M$  are  $G$ -manifolds,  $\tau$  a  $G$ -map, then  $P$  is a  $G$ -manifold and  $\pi, j$  are  $G$ -maps.

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$  be the vector fields on  $F$  generating the torus action on  $\tau: F \rightarrow M$ . Let  $Z_0 \subset T_C(F)$  be the bundle of holomorphic vectors. By (a), the operations  $[\theta_j, \cdot]$  induce endomorphisms of the sheaf  $A_0$ . Let  $P = F \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ , and  $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ ,  $\pi(f, r) = \tau(f)$ ,  $j: F \rightarrow P$ ,  $j(f) = (f, 1)$ . Since  $T_C(P) = T_C(F) + \mathbb{R}^n$  in a canonical way, we can consider  $A_0 \subset T_C(F)$  as a subbundle of  $T_C(P)$ . Let  $A$  be the subbundle of  $T_C(P)$  generated by  $A_0$  and

$$X_j = \frac{i}{r_j} \theta_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Then, by (c),  $T(F) = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{R} \theta_j \oplus B$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} T_C(P) &= \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \oplus \mathbb{C} \theta_j \oplus A_0 \oplus \tilde{A}_0 \\ &= \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} + \frac{i}{r_j} \theta_j \right) \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \\ &= A \oplus \tilde{A}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $A$  is the bundle of  $(1, 0)$  vectors in some almost complex structure on  $P$ . But this structure is integrable, for  $A$  is a Lie algebra sheaf under brackets:  $[X_j, V_0] = i/r_j [\theta_j, V_0]$  is in  $A_0$  for  $V_0 \in A_0$ , and if  $V_1 \in A_0$ , so is  $[V_0, V_1]$ . Thus  $P$  is a complex manifold, and because of (b)  $\pi$  is holomorphic. The fibers of  $\pi$  are biholomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and the vector fields  $X_j$  are global holomorphic vector fields tangent to the fibers, so generate the  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  action exhibiting  $\pi: P \rightarrow M$  as a  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  bundle. The map  $j$  is clearly a CR-injection ( $j^*(A) = A_0$ ). It is obvious that a given CR-bundle action of a group  $G$  on  $F$  extends holomorphically to  $P$ .

### §3. Compactness of the group

The following result is probably true in greater generality (using the Bergman metric); in our case the proof is particularly simple.

**3.1 Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a strongly pseudoconvex Stein space, and  $G$  the group of automorphisms of  $X$ . If  $G$  has a relatively compact orbit,  $G$  is compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $O$  be the orbit, and  $p_0 \in O$ . For  $x \in X$ , define

$$m(x) = \sup\{|f(x)|; f \in \mathcal{O}(X), p \in O, f(p) = 0, \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

Let  $E_m = \{x \in X; m(x) \leq 1 - m^{-1}\}$  for  $m \geq 2$ .  $E_m$  is compact in  $X$ . For if  $\{x_n\} \in E_m$ , we may suppose, since  $\bar{X}$  is compact, that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0 \in \bar{X}$ . If  $x_0 \in \partial X$ , there is a nonconstant  $f$ , holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\bar{X}$  such that  $|f(x_0)| = \|f\|_\infty = 1$  [9]. In particular,  $|f(p_0)| < 1$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $h \circ f$  where  $h$  is the conformal map of the unit disc taking  $f(p_0)$  to 0, we may have in fact  $f(p_0) = 0$ . Thus  $|f(x_n)| \leq 1 - m^{-1}$  for all  $n$ . Taking limits,  $|f(x_0)| \leq 1 - m^{-1}$ , a contradiction. Thus we must have  $x_0 \in E_m$ , so  $E_m$  is compact.

$X = \cup E_m$ . Let  $x \in X$ . If  $x$  were in no  $E_m$ , we could find a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ ,  $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $|f_n(x)| \geq 1 - m^{-1}$  and  $f_n(x_n) = 0$  with  $x_n \in O$ . We may suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0 \in X$  since  $\bar{O}$  is compact, and by Vitali's theorem, we may suppose that  $f_n \rightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , the convergence being uniform on compact subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\|f\| \leq 1$  and  $f(x_0) = 0$ , but  $|f(x)| \geq \lim |f_n(x)| \geq 1$ , so  $|f(x)| = 1$ , a contradiction, since a non-constant function cannot attain its maximum.

$E_m$  is  $G$ -invariant. Let  $x \in E_m$ ,  $g \in G$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ ;  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $f(p) = 0$  for  $p \in O$ . Then  $\|f \circ g\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $f \circ g(g^{-1}(p)) = 0$  and  $g^{-1}(p) \in O$ , so,  $|(f \circ g)(x)| \leq 1 - m^{-1}$ , since  $x \in E_m$ . Thus  $|f(g(x))| \leq 1 - m^{-1}$  for every such  $f$ , so  $g(x) \in E_m$ .

Now, let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence in  $G$ . On any compact subset  $C$  of  $X$ ,  $\{g_n\}$ , as well as  $\{g_n^{-1}\}$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence. For, since  $\bar{X}$  has a Stein neighborhood, we can view  $X$  as a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , so the  $\{g_n\}$  becomes  $N$ -tuples of bounded functions, and Vitali's theorem applies. By diagonalization, we can find a subsequence  $\{h_n\}$  of  $\{g_n\}$  such that  $h_n, h_n^{-1}$  converge uniformly on each  $E_m$ . Let  $h = \lim h_n, k = \lim h_n^{-1}$ . Since  $h_n \circ h_n^{-1} = I$  on  $E_m$ ,  $h \circ k = I$  on  $E_m$ , so  $h: X \rightarrow X$  is invertible. Thus every sequence in  $G$  has a convergent subsequence, so (since  $G$  is clearly separable),  $G$  is compact.

Now, we return to an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold  $\Sigma: (\Sigma, B, J)$  is a compact  $CR$ -homogeneous strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface. By Theorem 2.2, if  $\dim \Sigma \geq 5$  there is a normal Stein space  $\bar{X}$  with only isolated singularities such that  $\Sigma$  bounds a domain  $X$  in  $X_0$ . From now on we shall assume that this is the case, allowing  $\dim \Sigma = 3$  also. Since the automorphisms  $g: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  can



be viewed as  $N$ -tuples of holomorphic functions defined near  $X$ , they extend, using Theorem VII D4 of [6], to automorphisms of  $X$ . Let  $S$  be the singular locus of  $X_0$ ; we shall assume that  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $G$  be the identity component of  $G(X)$ .  $G$  leaves  $S$  pointwise fixed, and is compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in S$ ,  $g \in G$ . Then  $g(p)$  is also singular. Thus  $G: S \rightarrow S$ . Since  $S$  is a finite set, and  $G$  connected,  $G$  leaves  $S$  pointwise fixed.

By the preceding lemma, since  $G$  has fixed points,  $G$  is compact.

**3.3. Theorem.**  *$S$  consists of only one point  $\{p_0\}$ . If  $p \neq p_0$ , the orbit  $G_p$  of  $p$  under  $G$  is a compact hypersurface  $\Sigma_p$  bounding a domain  $D_p$  with  $x_0 \in D_p$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H = \{p \in X; G_p \text{ is a compact hypersurface } \Sigma_p \text{ bounding a domain } D_p \supset S\}$ . By hypothesis,  $G$  contains a subgroup  $(G(\Sigma))$  which extends analytically across  $\partial X = \Sigma$  and is transitive there. By the semi-continuity of orbit dimension, for  $p$  near  $\partial X$ ,  $\dim G(\Sigma)_p \geq 2n - 1$ . Thus  $\dim G_p \geq 2n - 1$ . Since  $X$  is not an orbit of  $G$ , we must have  $\dim G_p = 2n - 1$ , so  $G_p$  is a compact hypersurface. Since  $\lim G_p = \partial X$  as  $p \rightarrow \partial X$  (if  $E_m$  intersects the interior of  $G_p$ , it is contained therein) for  $p$  close enough to  $\partial X$ ,  $G_p$  bounds a domain containing  $S$ . Thus  $H \neq \emptyset$ . With the same reasoning, we prove that  $H$  is open.

Now we show that  $H$  is closed in  $X - S$ . Let  $p_n \in H$ ,  $p_n \rightarrow q$ . Choose a subsequence  $\{p_n\}$  so that  $Gp_n \neq Gp_m$  (if that is impossible, then  $p_n \in Gp_N$  for  $n \geq N$ , so  $q \in Gp_N$  also). Now, for each  $n$ ,  $Gp_n$  separates  $X$  into two components, one of which is  $Dp_n$ . Since  $Gp_n \cap Gp_m = \emptyset$ , either  $Dp_n \subset Dp_m$  or  $Dp_m \subset Dp_n$ . We can find a subsequence  $\{q_n\}$  of  $\{p_n\}$  consistent with this ordering, i.e., so that

$$n < m \text{ implies } Dq_n \subset Dq_m \text{ for all } n, m$$

or

$$n < m \text{ implies } Dq_n \supset Dq_m \text{ for all } n, m.$$

In either case the proof will be the same, so we shall assume the second implication holds. Further  $q_n \rightarrow q$ . Let  $D_n = Dq_n$ ,  $E = \bigcap D_n$ . Since  $D_n \supset S$  for all  $n$ ,  $E \supset S$ . Further,  $D_n$  is  $G$ -invariant.

Now,  $Gq = \partial E$ . For if  $g \in G$ , certainly  $g(q) \in D_n$  for all  $n$ , so  $g(q) \in \bigcap D_n = E$ . On the other hand,  $g(q) = \lim g(q_n)$ , and  $g(q_n) \in \partial D_n$ . Thus  $g(q) \in \partial E$ . Now, suppose  $x \in \partial D_n = Gq_n$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Let  $x_n = g_n(q_n)$ . By compactness of  $G$ , choosing a subsequence, we may assume  $g_n \rightarrow g \in G$ . Then



$$x = \lim x_n = \lim g_n(q_n) = g(q)$$

so  $x \in Gq$ .

Now, if  $\partial E \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , then  $q \in G(S)$ , so  $q \in S$ . Thus if  $q \notin S$ ,  $E \cap S = \emptyset$ , so  $E$  is a neighborhood of  $S$ , and  $\partial E$  (being an orbit and thus a manifold) is thus a compact hypersurface bounding the interior of  $E$ , which contains  $S$ . Thus  $q \in H$ .

Since  $H$  is nonempty, open and closed in  $X - S$ ,  $H = X - S$ . It remains to show that  $S$  contains no more than one point. In the above argument, if  $q$  were in  $S$ , we would have  $\partial E = Gq = \{q\}$ , since the singular points are fixed under  $G$ . But then  $E = \{q\}$  also; since  $E \supset S$ , we obtain  $S = \{q\}$ .

#### §4. Regularity of the monoidal transform

Continuing the same discussion, we may now assume (shrinking  $X$  if necessary) that  $\Sigma$  bounds a Stein analytic space  $S$  with only one singular point  $\{p_0\}$ , and that  $G$  is a compact connected group of automorphisms of  $\bar{X}$ , leaving  $\{p_0\}$  fixed, and transitive on  $\Sigma$ . By monoidal transformation we may replace  $\{p_0\}$  by a subvariety of codimension 1. Let  $(\hat{X}, \tau)$  be the normalized monoidal transform of  $X$  with center at  $\{p_0\}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{X}$  is a normal Stein space and  $\tau: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$  is a proper map such that for  $E = \tau^{-1}(p_0)$ , (i)  $\dim E = \dim \hat{X} - 1$ , (ii)  $\tau: \hat{X} - E \rightarrow X - \{p_0\}$ .

**4.1. Theorem.** (1)  $\hat{X}$ ,  $E$  are nonsingular.

(2)  $G$  lifts to a group of automorphisms of  $\hat{X}$  such that

(a) if  $p \notin E$ ,  $Gp$  is a hypersurface

(b) if  $p \in E$ ,  $Gp = E$ .

**Proof.** To prove the theorem, we need only work in a neighborhood of  $p_0$  on which  $G$  operates. Choose such a neighborhood  $D = D_p$  which admits local coordinates (in the sense of definition V A 15 of [6])  $z^1, \dots, z^N$  centered at  $p_0$ . Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $g: D \rightarrow D$  and  $g(p_0) = p_0$ . Thus  $(z^i \circ g)(p_0) = 0$ , so  $z^i \circ g$  is in the maximal ideal, generated by  $z^1, \dots, z^N$ :

$$z^i \circ g = \sum h_j^i z^j, \quad h_j^i \in \mathcal{O}(D).$$

Now, by definition of the monoidal transformation  $V = \{(z, w) \in D \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1}; z \in D, z^i w^j = z^j w^i \text{ for all } i, j\}$  has two branches, one of which is  $\{p_0\} \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$  and the other is the monoidal transform  $\hat{D}$ .  $\tau: \hat{D} \rightarrow D$  is the projection on the first coordinate.  $E$  is the intersection of the two branches. Now, define  $\hat{g}: D \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1} \rightarrow D \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$  by

$$\hat{g}(z, w) = (g(z), (\sum h_j^1 w^j, \dots, \sum h_j^N w^j)).$$

Clearly,  $\tau \circ \hat{g} = g$ , so  $\hat{g}$  will be a lift of  $g$  to  $\hat{D}$ , once we verify that  $\hat{g}: \hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ . It suffices to show that  $\hat{g}: V \rightarrow V$ , for it certainly cannot interchange the branches.

Suppose  $(z, w) \in V$ . Then  $z \in D$  and  $z^i w^j = z^j w^i$ . We must show  $\hat{g}(z, w) = (z', w')$  satisfies the same relations.  $z' = g(z) \in D$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (z')^i (w')^j &= z^i (\hat{g}(z, w)) w^j (\hat{g}(z, w)) \\ &= (z^i \circ g)(z) \cdot \sum_r h_r^j w^r \\ &= \sum_s h_s^i z^s \cdot \sum_r h_r^j w^r \\ &= \sum_{r,s} h_s^i h_r^j (z^s w^r) = \sum_{r,s} h_s^i h_r^j (z^r w^s) \\ &= \sum_r h_r^j z^r \cdot \sum_s h_s^i w^s \\ &= z^j (\hat{g}(z, w)) w^i (\hat{g}(z, w)) = (z')^j (w')^i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assertion (2)(a) is trivial since it is true on  $X$ . As for (2)(b), clearly, for  $p \in E$ ,  $G_p \subset E$ . Let  $p, q \in E$ , and choose  $p_n \in X - \{p_0\}$ ,  $p_n \rightarrow p$ . Then  $\cap D p_n = \{p_0\}$ , so  $\cap \hat{D} p_n = E$ . Thus there exists  $q_n \in \partial \hat{D} p_n = G p_n$ ,  $q_n \rightarrow q$ . Let  $g_n(p_n) = q_n$ , and replace by a subsequence so that  $g_n \rightarrow g \in G$ . Then  $g(p) = q$ . (2)(b) is proven. Finally (1) follows from the normality of  $\hat{X}$ . The singular locus of  $\hat{X}$  is of codimension at least 2 in  $\hat{X}$ , so is a proper subvariety of  $E$ , as in the singular locus of  $E$ . Thus there is a  $p_0 \in E$  which is regular for  $\hat{X}$  and  $E$  both. But  $\hat{X}$  is homogeneous along  $E$ , so every point on  $E$  is regular for  $\hat{X}$  and  $E$  both. (1) is proven.

### §5. The case $\Sigma = G$

In this section, as a step toward the general case, we consider an  $H\Sigma$ -manifold on which a group  $G$  of  $CR$ -automorphisms acts effectively as well as transitively. This means that the map  $G \rightarrow \Sigma$ ,  $g \rightarrow g(p_0)$  (for some prefixed  $p_0$ ) is a diffeomorphism, so we can identify  $\Sigma$  with  $G: \Sigma = (G, B, J)$ , where the  $CR$ -structure is invariant under left multiplication on  $G$ . Since  $B$  is left-invariant, it is generated by left-invariant vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k$ ,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  where  $J(X_j) = Y_j$ ,  $J(Y_j) = -X_j$ . Since  $G$  is a  $CR$ -hypersurface, the  $+i$  eigenspace  $A$ , spanned by the  $X_j - iY_j$ , is a Lie subalgebra of  $g_C$  of codimension 1. An example is given by  $SU(2)$ , as described in section 2. The purpose of this section is to show that this is the only example of such a  $G$  bounding a Stein space.

**5.1 Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is as described above, and the CR-structure is induced by the embedding  $G \rightarrow \partial X$ , where  $X$  is a strongly pseudoconvex complex manifold with nonempty exceptional set  $E$ . Then  $G$  is  $SU(2)$  or  $SO(3)$  and  $X$  is the monoidal transform of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , or that modulo reflection in the origin.*

**Proof.** Let  $N \rightarrow E$  be the holomorphic normal bundle of  $E$  in  $X$ .  $G$  acts on the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T(X)$  by its differential, and since  $E$  is an orbit of  $G$ ,  $T(E)$  is invariant. Thus there is induced a representation of  $G$  on  $T(X)|_E/T(E) = N$ , which we denote by  $dg$ .

Fix  $p_0 \in E$  and let  $J$  be the isotropy group of  $p_0$ . By hypothesis  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} E + 1$ , so  $J$  is one-dimensional. If  $\Lambda: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is the representation

$$\Lambda(g) = dg(p_0)|_{N_{p_0}},$$

then  $N$  is the bundle induced by  $\Lambda$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow \Lambda & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E. \end{array}$$

Thus, the adjoint action of  $J$  is just the circle action, so is holomorphic on  $N$ .

Let  $v_0 \in N_{p_0}$ ,  $v_0 \neq 0$ , and  $\Sigma_0 = Gv_0$ . Since  $G$  is compact,  $\Sigma_0$  is compact; since  $N \rightarrow E$  is not differentially trivial,  $\Sigma_0$  is of codimension less than 2, so  $\Sigma_0$  is a hypersurface. Thus the map  $g \rightarrow dg(v_0)$  is a covering map of  $G$  onto  $\Sigma_0$ , so  $G$  inherits from  $\Sigma_0$  a new structure of  $H\Sigma$ -manifold, under left translation. In particular, if  $A_0 \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma_0)$  is the bundle of holomorphic vectors,  $a_0 = dg^{-1}(A_{0,v_0})$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $j$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $J$  on  $G$ . Since the differential of the projection  $N \rightarrow E$  is nonsingular on  $A_0$ ,  $d(dg)_e(j) \notin A_{0,v_0} \oplus \bar{A}_{0,v_0}$ , so  $j \notin a_0 \oplus \bar{a}_0$ . Thus  $g_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}j \oplus a_0 \oplus \bar{a}_0$ . Since  $AdJ$  preserves the CR-structure on  $\Sigma_0$ , and thus also on  $G$ ,  $[j, a_0] \subset a_0$ ,  $[j, \bar{a}_0] \subset \bar{a}_0$ . The algebraic situation is forcing: we now show that  $J$  is a maximal torus in  $G$  (this is a very special case of Wang's theorem that  $G/J$  is algebraic if and only if  $J$  centralizes a torus [2]).

Suppose  $k \in g_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $[k, j] = 0$ . We shall show that  $k \in \mathbb{C}j$ . Let  $k = \alpha j + \beta X + \bar{\beta} \bar{X}$ ;  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X \in a_0$ .

$$0 = [j, k] = \beta[j, X] + \bar{\beta}[j, \bar{X}],$$

and  $[j, X] \in a_0$ . Let  $X' \in a_0$ :

$$(5.2) \quad 0 = [X', [j, k]] = \beta[X', [j, X]] + \bar{\beta}[X', [j, \bar{X}]].$$

Let  $\Lambda \in g^*$  be such that  $\Lambda^\perp = \text{Re } a_0$ ,  $\Lambda(j) = 1$ . Since the  $CR$ -structure is strongly pseudoconvex, we know that the Levi form  $L(X, Y) = i\Lambda([X, \bar{Y}]) = -i[j, [X, \bar{Y}]]$  is definite hermitian on  $a_0$ . Thus, applying  $\Lambda$  to (5.2):

$$0 = \beta L(X', [j, X]).$$

This is true for all  $X' \in a_0$ , so  $[j, X] = 0$ . But then also  $[j, \bar{X}] = 0$ , so by the Jacobi identity

$$L(X, \bar{X}) = -i[j, [X, \bar{X}]] = 0$$

and thus, since  $L$  is definite,  $X = 0$ , so  $k = \alpha j$ . Since  $G = J$  is excluded ( $\dim_R G \geq 3$ ),  $g$  has no center so is semisimple, and  $j$  generates a maximal torus  $J$  in  $G$ . Since  $\dim J = 1$ , we conclude that  $G$  is  $SU(2)$  or  $SO(3)$ , [7], and  $g_C = Cj \oplus a_0 \oplus \bar{a}_0$  is its root space decomposition.

In case  $G = SU(2)$ , the realization of  $G$  as the unit sphere in  $C^2$  induces this  $CR$ -structure, thus  $N \rightarrow E$  is the Hopf bundle  $QC^2 \rightarrow P^1$ . Thus, blowing  $E$  down to  $\{p_0\}$  on  $X$ , we see that  $p_0$  is a regular point (since its tangent cone is  $C^2$ ), and  $G$  acts as a group of inner transformations at  $p_0$  whose linear part is the group of unitary transformations  $SU(2)$ . According to Cartan's theorem [1], a change of coordinates realizes  $G$  as this group, and the theorem is proven.

The case  $G = SO(3)$  reduces to the above. Differentiably,  $X - E = SO(3) \times (0, 1]$ . Let  $\tilde{X} = SU(2) \times (0, 1]$ ,  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X - E$  the obvious 2-sheeted covering. Endow  $\tilde{X}$  with the unique complex structure making  $\pi$  a holomorphic map. Now the natural action of  $SU(2)$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is locally the lift of the  $SO(3)$  action on  $X - E$ , so  $SU(2)$  acts holomorphically and effectively on  $\tilde{X}$ , and the orbits are strongly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces. Let  $X_0$  be  $X$  with  $E$  blown down to a point  $\{p_0\}$  and let  $\tilde{X}_0$  be  $\tilde{X} \cup \{q_0\}$ , topologized so that  $\pi: \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$  extended by  $\pi(q_0) = p_0$  is continuous.  $\tilde{X}_0$  is a  $\beta$ -analytic space in the sense of Grauert and Remmert [4], and by their theorem carries the structure of a normal analytic space, and the action of  $SU(2)$  extends to  $\tilde{X}_0$ . Now we are in the first case, and we can conclude that  $\tilde{X}_0$  is a ball in  $C^2$ ,  $SU(2)$  acts in its natural way, and  $\pi: \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$  is a 2-sheeted covering map with  $p_0$  the branch point. The theorem is proven.

#### §6. The general case

We now return to the situation as it was at the end of section 4.  $X$  is a strongly pseudoconvex manifold, with exceptional submanifold  $E$  of codimension 1.  $G$  is a compact group of biholomorphic mappings of  $\tilde{X}$ ,

which is transitive on  $\partial X = \Sigma$ . We have that  $E$  is invariant under  $G$  and  $G$  is transitive on  $E$ . Thus  $G$  acts, by the differential, on the normal bundle  $N$  of the embedding of  $E$  in  $X$ . We may assume that  $N$  is endowed with a  $G$ -invariant metric. To show that  $X$  is biholomorphically  $G$ -equivalent to  $N$ , we must find the fibering of  $X$  over  $E$ ; a reduction to the case of section 5 accomplishes that.

**6.1. Theorem.** *There is a biholomorphic  $G$ -map of  $X$  into  $N$  mapping  $\Sigma$  onto the unit circle bundle.*

**Proof.** For  $p \notin E$ , its  $G$ -orbit,  $Gp$  is a hypersurface; for  $p \in E$ ,  $Gp = E$ . Let  $p_0 \in \Sigma$ ,  $I$  = isotropy group of  $p_0$ , and  $K$  the set of points whose isotropy group contains  $I$ :

$$I = \{g \in G; g(p_0) = p_0\}, K = \{x \in X; g(x) = x \text{ for } g \in I\}.$$

Since  $K$  is the fixed point set of a family of transformations of  $X$ , it is an analytic set in  $X$ . Let  $N$  be the normalizer of  $I$ :  $N = \{g \in G; g^{-1}Ig \subset I\}$ .

If  $I = \{e\}$ , we are in the situation of section 5 and the theorem is proven. For  $I \neq \{e\}$ , we can conclude that  $\dim K \geq 1$ . For, when the orbits are equidimensional, isotropy groups of neighboring points are conjugate. Thus if  $\Sigma'$  is an orbit near  $\Sigma$ , and  $p \in \Sigma'$  is near  $p_0$ ,  $I_p = g^{-1}Ig$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then  $I_{g(p)} = I$ , and  $g(p) \in K$ . Since  $K$  thus intersects every orbit near  $\Sigma$ ,  $\dim K \geq 1$ .

Now,  $K$  is  $N$ -invariant: let  $g \in N$ ,  $x \in K$ ,  $h \in I$ . Then  $h$  fixes  $g(x)$ :  $h(g(x)) = g(h'(x))$  for some  $h' \in I$ , but then  $h'(x) = x$ , so  $h(g(x)) = g(x)$ . Thus  $I_{g(x)} \supset I$ , so  $g(x) \in K$ .

For any orbit  $\Sigma'$ ,  $N$  is transitive on  $K \cap \Sigma'$ ; in fact if  $x, g(x) \in K$ , then  $g \in N$ : let  $h \in I$ .  $g^{-1}hg(x) = g^{-1}g(x)$ , so  $g^{-1}hg \in I$  also.

Thus we see that  $K - E$  is a manifold, since each orbit is of real co-dimension 1, so contains a regular point of  $K$ . Further,  $N/I$  is a group of transformations on  $K$ , which is transitive and effective on  $K \cap \Sigma$ . If  $\dim K \geq 2$ ,  $K \cap E$  is also exceptional in  $K$ , so we are in the case of section 5 and we conclude that there is a holomorphic  $N$ -map  $\tau_0: K \rightarrow K \cap E$  representing  $K$  as a holomorphic line bundle over  $K \cap E$ . Let  $W_0$  be the holomorphic vector field tangent to the fibers which generates the action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . If  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} K = 1$ ,  $K$  is a disc and  $N/I$  acts as the circle; the existence of  $\tau_0$  and  $W_0$  are self-evident.

For  $p \in X$ , choose  $g \in G$  so that  $g(p) \in K$ . Let  $\tau(p) = g^{-1}\tau_0g(p)$ . This  $\tau: X \rightarrow E$  is well-defined. For if  $g(p) \in K$  also, then  $g'g^{-1}: g(p) \rightarrow g'(p)$ , both in  $K$ , so  $g'g^{-1} \in N$ . Since  $\tau_0$  is an  $N$ -map,  $\tau_0(g'g^{-1}) = (g'g^{-1})\tau_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 g'(p) &= g' g^{-1} \tau_0(g(p)), \\ g'^{-1} \tau_0 g'(p) &= g^{-1} \tau_0 g(p).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $W(p) = dg^{-1}(W_0(g(p)))$  is well-defined, since  $W_0$  is also  $N$ -invariant.  $\tau, W$  are holomorphic since they are invariant under a transitive group of holomorphic mappings. Thus, by Proposition 2.3,  $\Sigma$  can be realized as a circle bundle in some complex line bundle over  $E$ . But any two realizations of  $\Sigma$  as a hypersurface are biholomorphic (that is, the  $CR$ -correspondence of the two  $\Sigma$ 's extends to a biholomorphic mapping between the domains they bound). Thus  $X$  is this complex line bundle over  $E$  (near  $E$ ), from which we conclude that  $X \subset N$ .

This theorem as stated in the introduction now easily follows; all that is needed is to point out that since  $E$  arises from monoidal transformation, it is given as a subvariety of a projective space, so is projective. This proof includes half the theorem of Morimoto and Nagano. For suppose that  $\Sigma$  is an  $H\Sigma$  space in a Stein manifold  $M$  under a compact group of  $CR$ -automorphisms  $G$ . Then  $\Sigma$ , being a hypersurface, must have one strongly pseudoconvex point, being homogeneous, all points are strongly pseudoconvex. It follows that  $\Sigma$  bounds a domain in  $M$ . As in section 3, since  $G$  is compact, we can conclude that each orbit but one is a hypersurface. If the exceptional orbit is a point, our arguments apply and give the result that  $\Sigma$  is the sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , for only the line bundle  $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is the monoidal transform of a regular point. However, if the exceptional orbit is not a point, we must refer to their argument.

#### NOTES

1. L. Nirenberg has recently constructed an example showing this to be false in the  $C^\infty$  case.
2. P. Griffiths has shown me a proof of this.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BOCHNER, S., and W. T. MARTIN, *Several Complex Variables* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1948).
- [2] BOREL, A., "Kahlerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups," *P.N.A.S.* **40** (1954), 1147-1151.
- [3] CARTAN, E., "Sur les transformations pseudoconformes de deux variables complexes," *Oeuvres complètes* (2) (Paris: Gauthiers-Villars, 1955).
- [4] GRAUERT, H., and R. REMMERT, "Komplexe Räume," *Math. Ann.* **136** (1958), 245-318.

- [5] GREENFIELD, S., "Cauchy-Riemann equations in several variables," *Ann. S.N.S. Pisa* (3) **22** (1968), 275-314.
- [6] GUNNING, R. C., and H. ROSSI, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables* (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964).
- [7] HELGASON, S., *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces* (New York: Academic Press, 1962).
- [8] MORIMOTO, A., and T. NAGANO, "On pseudo-conformal transformations of hypersurfaces," *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **15** (1963), 289-300.
- [9] ROSSI, H., "Holomorphically convex sets in several complex variables," *Ann. of. Math.* **74** (1961), 470-493.
- [10] ———, "Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudo-concave boundary," *Proc. of the Conference on Complex Analysis* (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1964).
- [11] TANAKA, N., "On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of  $n$  complex variables," *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **14** (1962), 397-429.
- [12] ———, "Graded Lie algebras and geometric structures," *Proceedings of the U. S.-Japan seminar in differential geometry* (Kyoto, 1965).

BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY